

NHS Research SDE Network – Acknowledgements & Publications Policy (SNAPPy)

Draft Version 0.15 (16-Jul-2026) | Effective Date: [Insert Date] | Review Date: [Insert Date]

Contents

1.	Purpose	2
2.	Scope	2
3.	Principles	2
4.	Information about SDEs for all Research Publications or Presentations	3
5.	Authorship and Contributions of Individuals for Research Outputs	6
6.	Pre-submission Reviews of Research Publications & Presentations	7
7.	Measurement & Tracking of Research Outputs	8
8.	Media	8
9.	Information about SDEs for Participant- & Public-Facing Materials	9
10.	Roles & Responsibilities	9
11.	Dispute Resolution & Policy Alignment	10
12.	Document Management	10
13.	Contacts	10
Appendix A: Pre-Submission Checklist for Researchers		12
Appendix B: Suggested Examples For Research Outputs		13
Appendix C: Suggested Templated Examples For Additional Documents		17
Appendix D: CRediT Example		19
Appendix E: Central Web Form for Publication Submission		20
Appendix F: SNAPPy Working Group & Contributors		22
Appendix G: References		23
Appendix H: Acronyms and Abbreviations		25



1. Purpose

This policy sets out the means for acknowledging contributions to research projects using NHS data run in, facilitated by or supported by the NHS Research Secure Data Environment (SDE) Network in England, also referred to as “the Network”. This is to support tracking of research outputs while facilitating recognition of efforts.

The primary audience is researchers planning & delivering projects, with secondary audiences of Network staff supporting projects & central governance teams, supported by the checklist in [Appendix A](#).

2. Scope

This policy applies to all research outputs & research-based outputs arising from projects using or facilitated by the Network, particularly **peer-reviewed papers** (full and pre-prints), but also including: conference abstracts, presentations & posters; reports; and any associated media activities (press releases).

Researchers must follow these principles when developing: publicity materials relating to current or future capabilities of, or research being supported, by the Network (including case studies, press releases, blog, websites); participant-facing materials (results summaries, consent forms); grant applications; research protocols; statistical analysis plans; data management plans;

It also applies when talking more generally about an SDE and its work.

3. Principles

These principles guide research teams on how to acknowledge and represent the Network and its contributing SDEs in research outputs:

- **Transparency and Trust**
Every project should clearly acknowledge the Network and the specific SDE(s) involved. Such transparency is expected by the public. Clear acknowledgement builds trust with the public, funders, and the research community, & supports the principle that NHS data is being used responsibly & securely.
- **Fair Credit and Recognition of Meaningful Contribution**
This policy promotes the recognition of work done at SDEs to enable new research. This helps to demonstrate value to funders.



- **Consistency Across Outputs**
Consistent terminology helps researchers in writing & allows the Network to more easily track outputs and report on activities.
- **Researcher-Aimed Guidance**
Research outputs will be led by research teams who may be occasional users of the Network. Therefore guidance is aimed to be comprehensive.
- **Open Science and Accessibility**
Research outputs should be published as open access wherever possible. Research papers should include a data sharing statement & reference persistent identifiers (project IDs, digital object identifiers) to enable reproducibility & future discoverability.
- **Data Papers**
If new data assets are developed for future use in the Network, the publication of short, descriptive Data Papers is required, and relevant SDE staff & collaborators must be co-authors.

4. Information about SDEs for all Research Publications or Presentations

Table 2 sets out the minimum information to be included in research outputs when working with SDEs, focusing on sections for research papers. Some rows are specific to the particular research, marked by the entry in the “Context” column. **Appendix B** provided templated examples for more generic rows.

Note that general information about funding of the Network would typically go in the Acknowledgements section. Specific information about SDE funding (including grant codes) would be included in the Funding section *only* where the SDE has collaboratively contributed to the work.

It is recognised that the actual content in research publications and other documents may be limited by maximum word counts and specific style guides.



Table 2: Broad Approach for Relevant Sections

Output Area (Journal articles)	Context	Broad approach / mention
Ideal		
Abstract	All	Working with the Network
Methods	All	Working as each SDE involved as part of Network
	All	Project ID (matching Data Use Register)
	All	Information governance & ethics review for accessing data
	All	Data sources accessed & date ranges of data
	All	Whether use of data was obtained through explicit participant consent and/or under another legal basis. Network projects will more often be the latter.
	All	Be clear whether the data available to the researchers was anonymous, de-identified or identifiable and, if identifiable, which identifiers.
	All	Patient and Public Involvement and Engagement (PPIE) contributors supported the development of the SDE and were involved in approving this project through the Data Access Committee
	If data accessed has a Digital Object Identifier (DOI)	DOI of data asset accessed and reference to relevant data paper
	If linkage between datasets	Which identifiers were used to link dataset, where this was done and how success was measured
	For multi-SDE or multi-environment working	Approach to federated analytics / multi-SDE projects, working across multiple SDE, and implications for analysis
	If data discovery and/or access were facilitated by the Health Data Research (HDR) Gateway	Mention the Gateway and acknowledge according to the HDR UK Attribution Policy



Output Area (Journal articles)	Context	Broad approach / mention
	For consented studies where data were egressed	Approach to data egress: egressed from where, where analyses were performed and how they were transferred.
	For multi-SDE working	Whether sub-group analyses by SDE were planned
	If NHS cohort finding tools were used for planning	Which NHS tools were used to scope the need for, and feasibility of, the research.
	If direct invitations to participant used	Which NHS tools were used in the process of identifying, approaching, checking for eligibility & consenting for participation the participants and potential participants
Results	If linkage between datasets	Degree of success in any linkage between datasets, including “bring your own data” datasets.
Acknowledgements	All	Include: <i>“This work was Powered by NHS Data. Thank you to everyone whose data contributed.”</i>
	All	Include: <i>“The NHS Research Secure Data Environment Network has been funded by the NHS and the following government departments: Department of Health and Social Care and Office for Life Sciences.”</i>
	All	Project ID (from Data Use Register)
	If appropriate	Name key SDE contributors
Funding	If SDE co-authors or SDE funding contributed to project	Include details of funding for specific SDEs including grant numbers
Collaborators	Presentations and posters	Logos of the relevant SDEs and the Network
Data Sharing Statement	All	How the dataset involved in, or created by, the research could be made available to others for future research and, if not, why
	All	Reference NHS Powered by Data page for applicants



Output Area (Journal articles)	Context	Broad approach / mention
	If new data asset created	DOI of any data asset created
Additional (Optional)		
Introduction	If useful	Reason for working with SDE Network
Results	If analyses stratified by, or accounted for, working across SDEs	Mention any analysis done accounting for, or stratified by, SDE and what were the implications
Discussion	If information needed in limitations subsection	If limitations need to discuss the data accessed, these should be clear on the time period involved, and the timeliness of any publication or presentation against the period of analysis, recognising that Network capabilities will shift over time. Constructive lessons for SDEs should be shared promptly and directly, rather than only provided through published papers.

Appendix B provides examples of how these could be applied into journal articles and similar documents. The same principles can be applied to other documents relevant to the research which are not considered research outputs with **Appendix C** providing templated examples for generic aspects. **Appendix A** provides a checklist for researchers to facilitate reporting.

5. Authorship and Contributions of Individuals for Research Outputs

- **Recognising Meaningful Contributions**

Meaningful contributions by Network teams should be acknowledged by research teams, including the technical & organisational effort required to develop dataflows & enable secure project delivery. In some projects, individuals from SDE may contribute meaningfully to planning or co-designing the approach.

- **Applying Authorship Criteria**

The lead researcher should actively consider whether individuals from SDEs qualify for co-authorship for each output i.e. default is consideration rather than assuming they do not. Recognition through authorship for any single project may be a small matter, but can be important to future career progression. Authorship decisions should be guided by the criteria of the



target journal, with the criteria used by Nature being more inclusive than those from International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). Author lists can be extensive and people that primarily provided infrastructure are more often recognised outside of medicine.

Where appropriate, the SDE or Network may be included as a group author (e.g., “East of England SDE”) or as part of a broader collaborative group authorship when individual naming is impractical.

If individuals from SDEs are included as co-authors & **if** the CRediT Taxonomy is followed, their roles should include:
≡ Data curation | ≡ Resources | ≡ Project administration / Organization
Examples are provided in [Appendix D](#).

- **Acknowledgements & Collaborator Lists**

Individuals from SDEs who do not meet authorship criteria should still be acknowledged for meaningful contributions. Significant contributions can be highlighted in the Acknowledgements section (with permission from the individual). All SDE contributors should be listed in a formal Collaborator list at the end of the manuscript or in a Supplement. Each SDE should provide a downloadable cumulative list of staff involved, including dates of involvement.

6. Pre-submission Reviews of Research Publications & Presentations

Pre-submission review *is only mandatory if explicitly stated in the data access agreement DAA*.

Otherwise draft outputs should be offered for optional review to the primary contact at the SDE leading engagement with the research. Any matters of sensitivity or concern should be drawn to the SDE’s attention.

During any review where the SDE is not in the authorship group, SDE review should ensure accurate reflection of the SDE’s contribution, focusing on::

- ≡ Disclosure control (e.g., preventing re-identification)
- ≡ Reputation risk (e.g., misrepresentation of SDE)

Any review should be on a late-stage draft, ideally with a lay summary, and completed within 10 working days unless agreed otherwise. Advanced notification of intended timelines by the research team allows scheduling. The authors’ interpretation of research must not impact SDE reviews.



7. Measurement & Tracking of Research Outputs

Research outputs are one important metric by which the Network may be evaluated. Researchers should promptly **notify the Network** of the final citation (or link) for inclusion with Network outputs through the dedicated [web form](#) ([Appendix E](#)).

The Network will periodically, search for research publications using trackable fields in research publication repositories & citation logging sites such as PubMed, Web of Science & Medline. Examples of trackable fields include:

- ≡ SDE project ID (from Data Use Register)
- ≡ Data asset DOI (data assets used and data assets generated)
- ≡ SDE co-author affiliation
- ≡ SDE name in Acknowledgements
- ≡ Network name in funding

8. Media

Most research outputs *will not* be associated with media activities. However, *if any media activity is, or becomes, planned*:

- ≡ Researchers should inform the primary contact at the SDE leading engagement with the research as soon as reasonably practicable & aim for at least 3 working days before contact with journalists, publishers, media organisations or news outlets
- ≡ There is an expectation that the primary contact at the SDE leading engagement with the research should help to ensure the research team accurately reflects the SDE and the Network
- ≡ A draft press release & any relevant research/other outputs related to the work should be shared with the notification for confidential review
- ≡ Each SDE and the Network should be credited in media activity & press releases
- ≡ All media releases should aim to acknowledge that the work has been conducted using NHS data, and should thank the participants for the about them use of data.
- ≡ It is acknowledged that media organisations will not respect the wish to include this information.
- ≡ If media activities cannot be raised with the SDE ahead of time, they should be highlighted as soon as possible afterwards.
- ≡ All media releases should acknowledge that the work has been conducted



using NHS data, and should thank the participants for the use of data about them.

9. Information about SDEs for Participant- & Public-Facing Materials

Public-facing information about research outputs should be provided for each research project. If the project included consented participants, the findings should be returned to the participants themselves.

The safe and appropriate route by which NHS data have been accessed for research should be made clear in lay summaries of ongoing research and lay summaries of results. Example text is given in [Appendix B](#). Public-facing materials help PPI and non-expert members of Data Access Committees, Research Ethics Committees, funding committees, etc.

Where consent is being taken to participate in prospective research involving the SDE, the role of the SDE should be clear whether that is in **(a)** identification and invitation, **(b)** subsequent data collection, or **(c)** both. [Appendix B](#) and [Appendix C](#) give examples.

10. Roles & Responsibilities

• Researchers

Research outputs should be produced promptly. Research teams should consider how to constructively implement these points in advance of research outputs & presentations. Researchers should ensure that the Network is informed of all outputs, including reporting of publications. Research outputs should not go beyond the extent agreed in the DAA.

• SDEs

SDEs should reference this policy in DAA and draw it to the attention of successful applicant researchers. Reviews of outputs, *where undertaken*, should be done promptly & constructively. SDEs should make all recognition information required for manuscript preparation readily available, including the project number, details of any dedicated funding, and a list of contributing staff (with the period of their involvement), preferably in a format downloadable from the website.

• Network

The Network will host this guidance centrally & review it annually. A central registry of research outputs will be maintained.



11. Dispute Resolution & Policy Alignment

In the unlikely event of disputes, these should be resolved before the relevant research output is published or presented. If funder or publisher policies conflict with this guidance, these should be identified early and researchers should make every effort to meet these Network principles. If disagreements arise, resolution should be sought initially between researchers & senior members via the primary contact at the SDE leading engagement with the research. This discussion should include other involved SDEs, where appropriate.

The escalation routes for constructively resolving any disagreement should include the SDE central team which may defer to governance committees or a delegated group

. Resolution should be balanced and rapid.

12. Document Management

This policy will be reviewed early after implementation, then renewed regularly, at least annually. The current version & archived versions should be available to researchers. If the live version of Network policy at the time of producing a research output is different to the version cited in the DAA, the earlier version should be followed as a default, with the research team making efforts to follow the principles in the updated version where possible.

13. Contacts

Network mailbox: england.data.healthresearch@nhs.net

SDE contacts – see individual SDE Network pages at Data available through the SDE Network

Contributors are listed in the Appendices.





Appendix A: Pre-Submission Checklist for Researchers

Tick	Item
☐	Project ID included with common format SDE_[SDE-short-code]_PROJ_#####
☐	Use of Network mentioned in body of manuscript
☐	All NHS data sources are clearly named
☐	All relevant dataset DOIs are stated
☐	[Onward] data sharing / availability statement included
☐	Open Access publication routes considered
☐	Acknowledgements section explicitly names SDE(s) involved & Network
☐	Acknowledgements thank all people whose data contributed to the research
☐	Acknowledgements state: "This research was Powered by NHS Data."
☐	SDE staff were explicitly considered against authorship criteria for suitability for co-authorship or collaborator status
☐	SDE co-authors, if any, have SDE named as their affiliation
☐	SDE co-authors, if any, have been explicitly credited with CRediT taxonomy terms
☐	If required: Pre-submission check & approval received provided if needed
☐	SDE (will be) notified of any external activities or communications around publication



Appendix B: Suggested Examples For Research Outputs

The table below provides examples of wording for a range of research methods and outputs. This is **not an exhaustive list**, instead providing a starting point when writing. Cross-check current wording for HDR Gateway in the HDR UK Attribution Policy. Further examples will be included when the number of published papers is more mature.

Conference abstract and papers	
Methods (short e.g. conference allows <250 words)	This research was enabled by secure access to data through [NAME] Secure Data Environment.
Methods (medium e.g. conference allows 250 to 500 words)	This research was enabled by secure access to data through [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE), part of the NHS Research SDE Network, as project [#####].
Papers	
Methods	This research used data collected by the NHS as part of their care, and was accessed via [NAME] SDE, part of the NHS Research Secure Data Environment Network (Project ID: [XXXX]).
Methods (papers with Bring Your Own data)	This research used data collected by the NHS as part of their care. Additional data was collected directly from participants. The data was accessed via [SDE name], part of the NHS Research SDE Network (Project ID: [XXXX]). Data were connected using [identifiers].
Methods > Data	This research accessed the existing XXXX dataset (doi:xxxxxxx) [add reference to data paper, assuming available]
Methods > Data	<i>[Refer to HDRUK Attribution Policy for current wording about Gateway. The text below was the wording at the time of writing.]</i> Data discovery was facilitated by the Health Data Research Gateway' [insert year] DOI: https://doi.org/10.57774/jriz-mn46 ; Website: https://www.healthdatagateway.org/
Methods > Data	Datasets were linked by SDE staff using each participant's NHS number with the NHS number removed before the research team accessed the data.



Methods > Analysis	Data were pooled, by SDE staff, into one SDE. Data were accessed in separate SDEs, analysed and then these separate findings meta-analysed in XXXX.
Methods > Ethics or Methods > PPIE	PPIE contributors supported the development of the SDE and were involved in approving this project through the Data Access Committee Approval for the use of data for this study was granted by a Data Access Committee which includes independent and lay members” Approval for the use of data for this study was granted by each SDE’s Data Access Committee which includes independent and lay members
Results	Relevant datasets were fully linked for XX% participants
Acknowledgements	This research was enabled by secure access to data through the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE) (Project ID: EEXXXX), part of the NHS Research SDE Network. The NHS Research SDE Network has been funded by the NHS and the following government departments: Dept of Health and Social Care and Office for Life Sciences. This research was Powered by NHS Data, using data collected by the NHS as part of patients’ care. We thank the public for supporting this work and the people whose data contributed.
Acknowledgements (SDE-specific information – check with lead contact)	e.g. TVS: “Data collection & processing is also funded in part by the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre at Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.”
Funding (where SDE contributed funding directly or SDE co-authored)	[NAME] SDE is funded by NHS England (Grant number xxxxxxxxx). Data discovery and access was facilitated by the Health Data Research Gateway 2025.
Group Authorship	The [SDE name] Secure Data Environment contributed to this research as part of the NHS Research SDE Network. The following individuals are acknowledged as collaborators: [Full list in Supplementary Material].



Collaborator List (Supplementary Material)	Collaborators from [SDE name]: [Full names, roles]. Collaborators from other SDEs: [Full names, roles].
Data Sharing Statement (Where data are publicly shareable)	Data supporting this study are available via [repository name] under DOI [XXXX]. Access is subject to [conditions].
Data Sharing Statement (Where data are accessible on request)	Data are available within the Secure Data Environment & cannot be shared publicly. Requests for access should be directed to [contact/SDE process].
Data Sharing Statement (Where federated analysis was used)	Analyses were conducted in a federated manner across multiple SDEs; only aggregate outputs were released following disclosure control.
Public-and-Participant-Facing Results Summaries	
Lay Summaries of Results	The work you see today was Powered by NHS Data which was accessed securely through the [NAME] SDE. We thank the public for contributing to health research and better care.
Public Newsletters	This study was enabled by secure access to data through the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE). We are grateful to patients and the public for supporting research that improves health services.
Educational Posters	Data for this research was provided securely via the [NAME] SDE. It was Powered by NHS Data. Thanks to patients and the public, we can improve health and care in the NHS.
Social Media Threads	This research was Powered by NHS Data [link] via the [NAME] SDE. Thank you to the public for helping us improve health and care.



Conference Posters & Presentations	
Acknowledgements & Funding	This research was enabled by secure access to data through the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE) (SDE Project ID: NWXXXX), part of the NHS Research SDE Network. This work uses data about patients treated in the [AREA] and collected by the NHS as part of their care. This research has been Powered by NHS Data. We thank the public for supporting this work. [NAME] SDE is funded through the Data for Research and Development Programme by NHS England. Data discovery was facilitated by the Health Data Research Gateway [insert year] (https://doi.org/10.57774/jrz-mn46).
Internal Reports & Policy Briefs	
Acknowledgements & Funding	This research was enabled by secure access to data through the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE) (SDE Project ID: NWXXXX), part of the NHS Research SDE Network. This work uses data about patients treated in the [AREA] and collected by the NHS as part of their care. This research has been Powered by NHS Data. We thank the public for supporting this work. [NAME] SDE is funded through the Data for Research and Development Programme by NHS England. Data discovery and access was facilitated by the Health Data Research Gateway [insert year] (https://doi.org/10.57774/jrz-mn46).



Appendix C: Suggested Templated Examples For Additional Documents

These documents are in scope for this guidance, but examples of where similar descriptions are needed are suggested. Further examples will be included in the future or in separate documentation.

Setting	Example
Grant Application	This research would be enabled by secure access to data through the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE), part of the NHS Research Secure Data Environment Network. This work uses data about patients treated in the [AREA] and collected by the NHS as part of their care. This research would be Powered by NHS Data.
Ethics Application	This research would be enabled by secure access to data through the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE), part of the NHS Research Secure Data Environment Network. This work uses data about patients treated in the [AREA] and collected by the NHS as part of their care. This research would be Powered by NHS Data. Before the research starts, Data Access Agreements will be in place with the SDE, following review by a dedicated Data Access Committee which follows the Five Safes.
Research Protocol (Methods Section)	This research is be enabled by secure access to data through the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE), part of the NHS Research Secure Data Environment Network. This work uses data about patients treated in the [AREA] and collected by the NHS as part of their care.
Research Protocol (Oversight & Governance Section)	Data Access Agreements will be in place with the SDE, following review by a dedicated Data Access Committee which follows the Five Safes.
Research Protocol (Publication and Dissemination Section)	Reference will be made to the “NHS Research SDE Network – Acknowledgements & Publications Policy (SNAPPy)” when development research outputs, both the version referenced in the Data Access Agreement and any different current version.
Lay Summary (unconsented)	This research is being conducted using data already collected about people in the NHS. The researchers will access the data in a Secure Data Environment. A Data Access Committee reviews all applications following the “Five Safes” criteria before research can start. No personal data individuals will be included in the results.



Setting	Example
Lay Summary (for consent)	This research is being conducted using data already collected about people in the NHS. The researchers will access the data in a Secure Data Environment. Only the relevant information from NHS records will be made available. A Data Access Committee reviews all applications following the “Five Safes” criteria before research can start. No personal data about you will be included in the results. The forms you complete will be linked to your records in the secure data environment.
Press Release (new collaboration)	This work is enabled by the [NAME] Secure Data Environment (SDE) (SDE Project ID: NWXXXX), part of the NHS Research SDE Network. The SDE uses data about patients treated in the [AREA] and collected by the NHS as part of their care. Patient and public (PPIE) contributors supported the development of the SDE and are involved in approving projects through the Data Access Committee.



Appendix D: CRediT Example

Setting	Example
Example with only 3 authors and where Amanda Amberson was the Principal Investigator, Belinda Black was the statistician and where Charlie Cornfield qualified from SDE as a co-author.	Conceptualization: AA; Data curation: CC; Methodology: AA, BB, CC; Project administration / Organization: CC; Resources CC; Writing – review & editing: AA, BB, CC.



Appendix E: Central Web Form for Publication Submission

Fields for web form for researchers to upload.

Field	Rationale
Triage question:	
1. Do you have an NHS.net account?	
2. SDE project reference number*	Project ID: SDE project reference number
3. Publication DOI	Unique identifier
4. Publication URL	Unique webpage, important if no DOI
5. Publication's first author's name*	Name of first author of publication to confirm manuscript
6. Journal name (or conference)*	Where publication / presentation found
7. Publication date (approximate)*	Date publication first available (please input date dd/mm/yyyy)
Acknowledgement of SDE: 8. How was working in SDE Network acknowledged in the manuscript (tick all that apply)	Please select at most 4 options: • Co-authors from SDE • SDE as group author • SDE acknowledged • SDE names included in collaborator list • None of these
9. Is the publication Open Access from the outset?	
10. How will a copy of the publication be provided?*	Options: • 'Upload a copy [Preferred]' • 'Email a copy' • 'Provide link to downloadable version [Avoid if not Open Access]' • 'Not possible'
10. Upload publication / reference (Non-anonymous question)*	Provides copy of paper
11. Email copy of publication / output to england.data4rd.pmo@nhs.net Download the answers (receipt) from this form when pressing submit and include in the body of the email*	
11. URL to already-Open Access downloadable version*	
11. Reason for non-upload*	



Field	Rationale
12. Explain "other"	
13. Are further research outputs expected from this project?*	Further outputs to follow Options: • Yes • Possibly • No
14. OPTIONAL Enter any further comments, information or feedback here Comments	Comments
15. Name of person submitting form (in case of issues)	Submitter name
16. Thank you for completing this form (Remember to email that copy if that was the approach you pledged)	Submit



Appendix F: SNAPPy Working Group & Contributors

The members of the SNAPPy Working Group were **Matthew Sydes** (Chair) [Data for R&D]; **Simon Reed** (Facilitator) [Data for R&D]; **Michael Ball** [NENC SDE]; **Kathryn Eden** [NENC SDE]; **Rick Fell** [Data for R&D]; **Vicki Hartley** [NHSE SDE]; **Anneka Hon** [London SDE]; **Elsie Makachiya** [Eastern SDE]; **Gail Roadknight** [TVS SDE]; **Ralph Scott** [Wessex SDE]; **Terry Service** [NHSE SDE]; and **Sally Stewart** [North West SDE]

Contributions through **discussion** included: Lorna Branton [Data for R&D Programme]; Steph Garfield-Birkbeck [NIHR]; Dorota Goble [NIHR]; Fred Kemp [Thames Valley & Surrey (TVS) SDE]; Clare Pepper [Data for R&D Programme]; Kinga Varnai [Thames Valley & Surrey (TVS) SDE]; and the SDE Network Board.

Comments were invited and received from: Stacy-Ann Ashley [UKRI]; Simon Ball [West Midlands SDE]; Paul Colville-Nash [UKRI]; Chris Kipps [Wessex SDE]; Nicola Lewin [Thames Valley & Surrey (TVS) SDE]; Sarah Rodgers [University of Liverpool]; Tjeerd van Staa [University of Manchester]; and Li Weizi [Henley Business School].

An **earlier acknowledgements template** for the SDE Network was developed by Elsie Makachiya [Eastern England SDE]; Jacob Wingfield [Eastern England SDE] and Keren Limor [Eastern England SDE].



Appendix G: References

These documents informed the development of this document but have not been formally referenced throughout.

Area	Reference / Link
Websites	
CPRD Research Data Governance Operating Framework	www.cprd.com/sites/default/files/2022-02/CPRD%20Research%20Data%20Governance%20Operating%20Framework.pdf
HDRUK Attribution Policy	www.hdruk.ac.uk/about-us/policies/hdr-uk-attribution-policy/
ICMJE authorship criteria	www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/defining-the-role-of-authors-and-contributors.html
NASEM Reflections on Sharing Clinical Trial Data --- Challenges and a Way Forward	nap.nationalacademies.org/resource/25838/interactive
Nature Portfolio authorship criteria	www.nature.com/nature-portfolio/editorial-policies/authorship
NHSE Data Sharing Framework Contract	digital.nhs.uk/binaries/content/assets/website-assets/services/dars/data-sharing-framework-contract
NHS England Board (Mar-2025) – Digital, Data & Technology Transformation	www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/agenda-item-8-data-digital-and-technology-transformation.pdf
NIHR Open Access policy	www.nihr.ac.uk/nihr-open-access-publication-policy
OpenSAFELY Policies for Researchers	www.opensafely.org/policies-for-researchers
Recognition list examples from STAMPEDE trial	www.stampedetrial.org/media/2621/collaborators-lists_2021-09-15.pdf
Research Powered By Data	digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/research-powered-by-data
SAIL Databank Publications Policy	saildatabank.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/SAIL-POL-034-Publications-Policy-v3.1.pdf
SDE Network contacts	digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/research-powered-by-data/find-health-data-for-your-research#data-available-through-the-sde-network
Use MY data	www.usemydata.org.uk/



Area	Reference / Link
Papers	
Data authorship	Bierer et al. 2017. Data Authorship as an Incentive to Data. NEJM. doi: 10.1056/NEJMs1616595
Data authorship	Sydes et al. 2017. Data Authorship as an Incentive to Data. NEJM. (Correspondence)) doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1707245
Fairer authorship	Cheah PY et al. 2025. Call for a fairer approach to authorship in publishing biomedical research. Nature Comms Med. Nature Comms Med doi: 10.1038/s43856-025-00815-9
Grampian DSDH	O'Sullivan K et al. 2023. A profile of the Grampian Data Safe Haven, a regional Scottish safe haven for health and population data research. Int J Pop Data Sci doi: 10.23889/ijpds.v4i2.1817
SAIL databank example project	Owen R et al.2025. Health and care service utilisation in the last year of life before non-sudden death in Wales, 2014–2023, by palliative care registration: a population-based retrospective cohort study doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2025.101479
Scottish Network of Safe Havens	Gao C et al. 2022. A National Network of Safe Havens: Scottish Perspective. J Med Internet Research. doi: 10.2196/31684
Use of data sharing statements	Zhang J,et al. 2024. Journal requirement for data sharing statements in clinical trials: a cross-sectional study doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2024.111405



Appendix H: Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym	Definition
CRedit	Contributor Roles Taxonomy: https://credit.niso.org/
DAA/DAS	Data Access Agreement / Data Access Statement
DOI	Digital Object Identifier
DUR	Data User Register
ICMJE	International Committee of Medical Journal Editors
ID	Identifiers
Lead SDE	SDE holding primary contract with researchers for project
Network	NHS Research SDE Network
OA	Open Access
ORCID	Open Researcher & Contributor ID: https://orcid.org/
SDE	Secure Data Environment
SNAPPy	SDE Network – Acknowledgements & Publications Policy

